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## Analysis of Algorithms (1)

- In general, we want...
- to determine central unit of work by considering the operations applied in the algorithm
- to express unit of work as function of size of input data: How quickly does amount of work grow as size of input grows?
- classify algorithms according to how their running time and/or space requirements grow as input size grows
- For example, recall Sequential Search algorithm
- Get list of $n$ names to search, and target name to search for
- Examine each name in sequence
- If all names have been examined, set found to false and stop
- If name equals target, set found to true and stop
- If name not equal to target, advance to next name
- Main unit of work: comparisons
- Analysis
- In best case, one comparison must be made (target is first item in list)
- In worst case, $n$ comparisons must be made (target not found; all items examined)
- In average case $n / 2$ comparisons must be made


## Analysis of Algorithms (2)

- Order of magnitude analysis ("Big-O")
- Constant factors do not change shape of graph!



## Analysis of Algorithms (3)

- Order of magnitude ("Big-O") (cont.)
- Any algorithm whose work can be expressed as c * n where c is a constant and n is the input size is said to be "order of magnitude n", or O(n)
- Likewise, any algorithm whose work varies as a constant times the square of the input size is said to be "order of magnitude $n$-squared", or $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$


## Analysis of Algorithms (4)

- Order of magnitude ("Big-O") (cont.)
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ always gets bigger than $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ eventually!



## Analysis of Algorithms (5)

- Big-O Analysis of Sequential Search
- Best case: O(1)
- Worst case: O(n)
- Average case: $O(n / 2)=O(n)$


## Analysis of Algorithms (6)

- Recall Selection Sort...
- Input: a list of numbers
- Output: a list of the same numbers in ascending order
- Method:
- Set marker that divides "unsorted" and "sorted" sections of list to the end of the list
- While the unsorted section of the list is not empty
- Find largest value in "unsorted" section of list
- Swap with last value in "unsorted" section of list
- Move marker left one position


## Analysis of Algorithms (7)

- Selection Sort (cont.)
- Big-O Analysis
- Units of work: comparisons and exchanges
- In all cases, we need $n+(n-1)+\ldots+1$ comparisons $=$ $\left[n^{*}(n-1)\right] / 2$ comparisons $=1 / 2 n^{2}-1 / 2 n$ comparisons $=$ $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ comparisons
- In best case, items are already in order, so 0 exchanges needed: $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ comparisons +0 exchanges $=\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$
- In worst case, items are in reverse order, so $n$ exchanges needed: $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ comparisons $+n$ exchanges $=$ $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$


## Analysis of Algorithms (8)

- Selection Sort (cont.)
- Space Analysis
- Major space requirement is list of numbers (n)
- Other space requirements:
- Extra memory location needed for marker between sorted and unsorted list
- Extra memory location needed to store LargestSoFar used to find largest item in unsorted list
- Extra memory location needed to exchange two values (why?)
- Overall, space requirement is proportional to $n$.


## Analysis of Algorithms (9)

- Recall Binary Search...
- Input: a list of $n$ sorted values and a target value
- Output: True if target value exists in list and location of target value, false otherwise
- Method:
- Set startindex to 1 and endindex to n
- Set found to false
- While found is false and startindex is less than or equal to endindex
- Set mid to midpoint between startindex and endindex
- If target = item at mid then set found to true
- If target < item then set endindex to mid - 1
- If target > item then set to startindex to mid + 1 pointSet marker that divides "unsorted" and "sorted" sections of list to the end of the list
- If found = true then print "Target found at location mid"
- Else print "Sorry, target value could not be found."


## Analysis of Algorithms (10)

- Binary Search (cont.)
- Big-O Analysis
- Unit of work: comparisons
- Best case
- target value is at first midpoint
- O(1) comparisons
- Worst case
- target value is not found
- list is cut in half until it is reduced to a list of size 0 (startindex is greater than or equal to endindex)
- How many times can the list be cut in half? The number of times a number $n$ is divisible by another number $m$ is defined to be the $\operatorname{logb}(a)$, so the answer is $\log _{2}(n)=$ $O(\lg n)$


## Analysis of Algorithms (11)

| Order 10 50 100 1000 <br> $\lg n$  0.0003 sec 0.0006 sec 0.0007 sec <br> $n$  0.001 sec 0.005 sec 0.01 sec <br> $n^{2}$  0.01 sec 0.25 sec 1 sec <br> $2^{n}$ 0.1024 sec 3570 yrs $4^{*} 1016$ <br> centuries Too big to <br> compute |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Summary of Orders of Magnitude

- $O(\lg n)=$ flying
- $O(n)=$ driving
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)=$ walking
- $O\left(n^{3}\right)=$ crawling
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{4}\right)=$ barely moving
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{5}\right)=$ no visible progress
- $O\left(2^{n}\right)=$ forget it, it will never happen
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