Kinds of Plans



Start State

On(C, A)
On(A, Table)
On(B, Table)
Clear(C)
Clear(B)
Block(A)
…

Sequential Plan

MoveToTable(C,A) > Move(B,Table,C) > Move(A,Table,B)

Partial-Order Plan

MoveToTable(C,A)

Move(B,Table,C)

> Move(A,Table,B)]

Forward Search



Start State

On(C, A)
On(A, Table)
On(B, Table)
Clear(C)
Clear(B)
Block(A)
…

MoveToTable(C,A)

MoveToBlock(C,A,B)

MoveToBlock(B,Table,C)

~~On(C, A)~~
On(A, Table)
On(B, Table)
Clear(C)
Clear(B)
Block(A)
…
+Clear(A)
+On(C, Table)

Applicable actions

Backward Search

ACTION: MoveToBlock(b,x,y)
PRECONDITIONS: On(b,x), Clear(b), Clear(y),
Block(b), Block(y), (b≠x), (b≠y), (x≠y)
POSTCONDITIONS: On(b,y), Clear(x)
¬On(b,x), ¬Clear(y)

MoveToBlock(A,Table,B)

MoveToBlock(A,x',B)



Goal State

On(B, C)
On(A, B)

On(B, C)
~~On(A, B)~~
+On(A,Table)
+Clear(A)
+Clear(B)
+…

Relevant actions

$$g' = (g - \textsc{Add}(a)) \cup Precond(a)$$

Heuristics: Ignore Preconditions

- Relax problem by ignoring preconditions
  - Can drop all or just some preconditions
  - Can solve in closed form or with set-cover methods



Start State



Goal State

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Action(Slide(t, s_1, s_2),\\
&\quad \text{PRECOND}: On(t, s_1) \wedge Tile(t) \wedge Blank(s_2) \wedge Adjacent(s_1, s_2)\\
&\quad \text{EFFECT}: On(t, s_2) \wedge Blank(s_1) \wedge \neg On(t, s_1) \wedge \neg Blank(s_2))
\end{aligned}
$$

Heuristics: No-Delete

- Relax problem by not deleting falsified literals
  - Can't undo progress, so solve with hill-climbing (non-admissible)

On(C, A)
On(A, Table)
On(B, Table)
Clear(C)
Clear(B)



ACTION: MoveToBlock(b,x,y)
PRECONDITIONS: On(b,x), Clear(b), Clear(y),
Block(b), Block(y), (b≠x), (b≠y), (x≠y)
POSTCONDITIONS: On(b,y), Clear(x)
~~¬On(b,x), ¬Clear(y)~~

Heuristics: Independent Goals

- Independent subgoals?
  - Partition goal literals
  - Find plans for each subset



Goal State

On(B, C)
On(A, B)

On(A, B)

On(B, C)

Planning “Tree”

Start: HaveCake

Goal: AteCake, HaveCake

Action: Eat
Pre: HaveCake
Add: AteCake
Del: HaveCake

Action: Bake
Pre: ¬HaveCake
Add: HaveCake



Reachable State Sets



Approximate Reachable Sets

Have=T, Ate=F

Have={T}, Ate={F}

Have=F, Ate=T	Have=T, Ate=F

Have={T,F}, Ate={T,F}

(Have, Ate) not (T,T)
(Have, Ate) not (F,F)

Have=T, Ate=T	Have=F, Ate=T	Have=T, Ate=F

Have={T,F}, Ate={T,F}

(Have,Ate) not (F,F)

Planning Graphs

Start: HaveCake

Goal: AteCake, HaveCake

Action: Eat
Pre: HaveCake
Add: AteCake
Del: HaveCake

Action: Bake
Pre: ¬HaveCake
Add: HaveCake



Mutual Exclusion (Mutex)

NEGATION
Literals and their negations can't be true at the same time





Mutual Exclusion (Mutex)

INCONSISTENT EFFECTS
An effect of one negates the effect of the other





Mutual Exclusion (Mutex)

INCONSISTENT SUPPORT
All pairs of actions that achieve two literals are mutex





Planning Graph



Mutual Exclusion (Mutex)

COMPETITION
Preconditions are mutex; cannot both hold





INCONSISTENT EFFECTS
An effect of one negates the effect of the other

Mutual Exclusion (Mutex)

INTERFERENCE
One deletes a precondition of the other





Planning Graph



Observation 1



Propositions monotonically increase

(always carried forward by no-ops)

Observation 2



Actions monotonically increase

(if they applied before, they still do)

Observation 3



Proposition mutex relationships monotonically decrease

Observation 4



Action mutex relationships monotonically decrease

Observation 5

- Claim: planning graph “levels off”
  - After some time k all levels are identical
  - Because it’s a finite space, the set of literals cannot increase indefinitely, nor can the mutexes decrease indefinitely

- Claim: if goal literal never appears, or goal literals never become non-mutex, no plan exists
  - If a plan existed, it would eventually achieve all goal literals (and remove goal mutexes – less obvious)
  - Converse not true: goal literals all appearing non-mutex does not imply a plan exists

Heuristics: Level Costs

- Planning graphs enable powerful heuristics
  - Level cost of a literal is the smallest S in which it appears
  - Max-level: goal cannot be realized before largest goal conjunct level cost (admissible)
  - Sum-level: if subgoals are independent, goal cannot be realized faster than the sum of goal conjunct level costs (not admissible)
  - Set-level: goal cannot be realized before all conjuncts are non-mutex (admissible)



Graphplan

- Graphplan directly extracts plans from a planning graph
- Graphplan searches for **layered plans** (often called parallel plans)
  - More general than totally-ordered plans, less general than partially-ordered plans
- A layered plan is a sequence of **sets** of actions
  - actions in the same set must be compatible
  - all sequential orderings of compatible actions gives same result



Layered Plan: (a two layer plan)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{move(A,B,TABLE)} \\ \text{move(C,D,TABLE)} \end{array} \right\} ; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{move(B,TABLE,A)} \\ \text{move(D,TABLE,C)} \end{array} \right\}$$

Solution Extraction: Backward Search

Search problem:

- **Start state: goal set at last level**
- **Actions: conflict-free ways of achieving the current goal set**
- **Terminal test: at S_0 with goal set entailed by initial planning state**

Note: may need to start much deeper than the leveling-off point!

Caching, good ordering is important