

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Sharpe RM, Koepke T, Harper A, Grimes J, Galli M, Satoh-Cruz M, et al. (2016) *Cis*SERS: Customizable *In Silico* Sequence Evaluation for Restriction Sites. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0152404. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404

Editor: Manoj Prasad, National Institute of Plant Genome Research, INDIA

Received: July 20, 2015

Accepted: March 14, 2016

Published: April 12, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Sharpe et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The Nostoc sp. PCC 7107 genome is available from NCBI Accession number NC_019676 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/ NC_019676.1. The Arabidopsis EST dataset is available from TAIR ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/ tair/Sequences/ATH_cDNA_EST_sequences_ FASTA/. Other relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by WSU Agriculture Research Center Hatch funds to AD and KE. US Department of Agriculture National Research Initiative (USDA-NRI) grant 2008 -35300-04676 to AD and AK supported AH and JG. TAK acknowledges **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

*Cis*SERS: Customizable *In Silico* Sequence Evaluation for Restriction Sites

Richard M. Sharpe^{1,3‡}, Tyson Koepke^{1,2‡}, Artemus Harper², John Grimes⁴, Marco Galli², Mio Satoh-Cruz⁵, Ananth Kalyanaraman⁴, Katherine Evans², David Kramer⁵, Amit Dhingra^{1,2}*

1 Molecular Plant Sciences Graduate Program, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, United States of America, 2 Department of Horticulture, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, United States of America, 3 School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, Washington, United States of America, 4 Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, United States of America, 5 MSU-DOE Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America

† These authors are joint first authors on this work. * adhingra@wsu.edu

Abstract

High-throughput sequencing continues to produce an immense volume of information that is processed and assembled into mature sequence data. Data analysis tools are urgently needed that leverage the embedded DNA sequence polymorphisms and consequent changes to restriction sites or sequence motifs in a high-throughput manner to enable biological experimentation. CisSERS was developed as a standalone open source tool to analyze sequence datasets and provide biologists with individual or comparative genome organization information in terms of presence and frequency of patterns or motifs such as restriction enzymes. Predicted agarose gel visualization of the custom analyses results was also integrated to enhance the usefulness of the software. CisSERS offers several novel functionalities, such as handling of large and multiple datasets in parallel, multiple restriction enzyme site detection and custom motif detection features, which are seamlessly integrated with real time agarose gel visualization. Using a simple fasta-formatted file as input, Cis-SERS utilizes the REBASE enzyme database. Results from CisSERS enable the user to make decisions for designing genotyping by sequencing experiments, reduced representation sequencing, 3'UTR sequencing, and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) molecular markers for large sample sets. CisSERS is a java based graphical user interface built around a perl backbone. Several of the applications of CisSERS including CAPS molecular marker development were successfully validated using wet-lab experimentation. Here, we present the tool CisSERS and results from in-silico and corresponding wet-lab analyses demonstrating that CisSERS is a technology platform solution that facilitates efficient data utilization in genomics and genetics studies.



the National Institutes of Health Protein Biotechnology Training Grant T32GM008336 and ARCS Fellowship Program. RMS's work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants IOS 0641232 and MCB 1146928 and by Civilian Research and Development Foundation Grant RUB1-2982-ST-10 to Gerald E. Edwards, WSU. Work related to characterization of the cfq mutant was supported by US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences Program DE-FG02-04ERI5559 to DMK.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

High-throughput sequencing technologies continue to generate vast amounts of information. The DNA sequence information is processed for quality and assembled into contigs resulting in the generation of mature sequence data that is subsequently utilized by biologists in wet lab experiments. Availability of user-friendly computational tools specifically created to process large quantities of sequence information from multiple samples that catalyze the translation of these countless data into useful knowledge for addressing biological questions remains a bottleneck. Existing sequence data can be harnessed for nucleotide polymorphism information, ascertaining genetic diversity in a population, and reduced representation sequencing. The consequences of nucleotide polymorphisms are diverse. They might result in altering the phenotype if there is a change in an amino acid or alterations in the regulatory regions. Alternatively, these may be inconsequential mutations. Biologists endeavor to first identify and then utilize the polymorphic information to establish causal relationships between the genotype and the phenotype in genomics and genetics approaches.

There are several approaches in use that exploit nucleotide polymorphism information. On a global genomic scale, nucleotide polymorphism information is generated using whole genome sequencing, reduced representation sequencing [1-3], genotyping by sequencing [4,5], and SNP arrays [6,7]. Genotyping by sequencing and reduced representation sequencing both utilize restriction site information during sequencing library preparation for genomes [1, 2]and transcriptomes [3-5]. An example is Restriction-site Associated DNA (RAD) sequencing that enables identification of polymorphisms which are subsequently used as DNA markers for population analysis [6, 7]. Whole genome analysis of restriction sites can provide better information to help guide decisions for enzyme selection in digesting DNA for RAD sequencing libraries as well as BAC library production and sub-cloning. Transcriptome analysis via sequencing of 3' untranslated regions (3'UTR) of cDNA libraries was enabled through the utilization of restriction enzyme digests in maize [3] and sweet cherry [4].

The afore-mentioned approaches are overkill when working with a single or a few hundred genes as is typical of most projects. For such focused applications, methods such as high resolution melting $[\underline{4}]$, allele specific PCR $[\underline{8}]$, single locus restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) [9] are used. Of these, methods based on restriction enzyme digestion are relatively easy, widely used, reproducible, and cost-effective to perform and analyze due to the reduced need for specialized equipment and expertise. For genetics applications, restriction enzyme based molecular markers are commonly employed. Historically, these molecular markers have been developed either through trial and error or from polymorphisms among a limited set of individuals. With the availability of high-throughput sequencing technologies, the onus has shifted to identifying multiple, site-specific polymorphisms across large populations. CAPS markers, also known as PCR based Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphic markers (PCR-RFLP), are routinely used for mapping traits in populations and for enabling efficient breeding [9]. CAPS markers are popular due to their relatively low cost and general ease of use through the reliance on the common, simple molecular biology tools of PCR, enzymatic digests and gel electrophoresis [10]. These strategies, however, rely on *a priori* knowledge including the location and sequence of the restriction sites. In addition to polymorphism screening, many types of molecular biology methods utilize restriction site information and therefore require an efficient tool to analyze large sequence datasets.

Several current restriction site analysis tools, summarized in <u>Table 1</u>, have been designed to handle one or several small sequences for targeted analysis [<u>11–17</u>], not the thousands or millions that are now available with high-throughput sequencing. NEBcutter is the most



Table 1. Restriction Analysis Program Comparison. A comparison of some essential traits of CisSERS and other restriction site analysis programs highlights the advantages of CisSERS and some of the shared components with previously available tools. Many of these tools were designed for CAPS marker or derived CAPS (dCAPS) marker development and each has varying limitations.

Program	Web- based	Automated decision making	Primer design	Data input type	Enzyme list	Primary Functions	Predicted gel image	Major limitation	Citation
CisSERS	No	No	No	Fasta or multi- fasta	REBASE with customization	multiple digest site analyses	Yes	Processing resource limited	
dCAPS Finder 2.0	Yes	No	Yes	Requires 2 sequences	Preset database	CAPS or dCAPS design	No	2–60 base sequences	[<u>11]</u>
BlastDigester	Yes	Yes	Yes	multi-fasta	unknown	CAPS design	No	Limited by Blast	[12]
SNP2CAPS	No	Partial	No	Alignment file	User input	CAPS design	No	Multiple alignments	[<u>13]</u>
CapsID	Yes	Yes	Yes	Alignments	unknown	CAPS design	Yes	unknown	[14]
SNP cutter	Yes	no	Yes	dbSNP or preformatted SNP file	Premade lists using REBASE	CAPS or dCAPS design	No	Format dependent	[<u>15]</u>
SNP-RFLPing	Yes	partial	Yes	SNPs	REBASE	CAPS or dCAPS design	No	Human and Rat only	[<u>16</u> , <u>18</u>]
NEBcutter	Yes	No	Yes	Fasta	REBASE	Comprehensive digest site analysis	Yes	Max file size 1Mb, max sequence length300kb	[<u>17</u>]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.t001

generalized restriction site analysis tool with the others focusing primarily on developing DNA markers. NEBcutter provides useful functionalities from cloning analysis to gel predictions based on different types of gels [17]. Version 2.0 of this web tool, at its farthest limit, handles a single sequence of less than 300 kbases or an input file of a single sequence less than 1 Mbyte for analysis regarding any number of enzymes from the REBASE database [8]. The analysis pipeline enables most molecular functionalities but is not set up for high-throughput analysis nor multiple sequence analysis.

Like NEBcutter, most of the previous molecular marker developing tools are web-server based, limiting functionality for high-throughput analysis depending on the users' internet connection and the tool's server availability. The need to upload large datasets to these webserver-based programs can cause a significant bottleneck. Since the molecular marker development tools are mainly used to design CAPS markers, several of these tools have added primer design for amplification of a region around a polymorphism-modified restriction site. Additionally, several of these tools include levels of automated decision making that aids primer set and enzyme selection although this reduces user control and preferences.

Here we present a novel tool, *CisSERS*: Customizable *in silico* Sequence Evaluation for Restriction Sites that was developed to enable high-throughput analysis of mature multiple sequences for restriction sites with an embedded dynamic visualization functionality when identifying and selecting restriction enzymes or custom motifs for subsequent wet-lab applications. *CisSERS* output includes DNA digest information including an agarose gel prediction, to facilitate the user's decision making process for selection of the most appropriate enzyme(s) for the project application. Unlike any other program in its class, *CisSERS* allows for custom motif detection to identify conserved sites, such as *cis*-acting elements or trans-acting protein binding sites, among all sequences and it even predicts amplicon lengths when oligonucleotides are input as custom motifs. For user convenience and project efficiency, *CisSERS* retains project files to provide easy access to the predicted cut site information to reduce time when the project requires iterative interactions, additional analysis or when two projects require comparison. In summary, CisSERS is expected to bridge the gap between sequence acquisition and implementation of diverse wet-lab approaches in order to address biological questions.

Material and Methods Overview of CisSERS

CisSERS was developed as a standalone program to provide processing of fasta files for restriction site and custom motif analyses generating tables of counts and predicted gel image as outputs. CisSERS is a java based graphical user interface built around a perl backbone presented in a standalone java execution file. CisSERS requires a onetime download of latest release of Java Runtime Environment (JRE) and Perl and, the CisSERS java archive (jar). While there are no known platform dependencies, the program runs without any problems in JRE version 7 on all operating systems. Using hundreds of thousands or millions of sequences or a single sequence in a single fasta file, selected motifs are identified, displayed and analyzed through a java based graphic user interface (GUI). The fundamental string matching functionality embedded in PERL enables motif identification. After analysis is complete, the outputs are displayed in the program including tables describing the cut counts and locations for each restriction site and dynamically created predicted gel images. Fig 1 provides a graphical overview of the CisSERS workflow. The program (CisSERS.jar), User manual for the CisSERS program and CisSERS Overview and Usage graphics can be found in <u>S1</u>, <u>S2</u> and <u>S3</u> Files. The CisSERS source code is available in <u>S5 File</u>.

General Workflow

User Input

For data entry into CisSERS, the user selects the fasta-formatted DNA sequence file for processing using a standard folder/file selection. The file is analyzed to verify that it is in the proper format and CisSERS will warn the user if irregularities are identified once it is started. For transcriptome analysis approaches where poly-A trimming and 5' to 3' orientation is desired, selection of the 'Sequences have Poly-A tails' check box enables this pre-processing (refer to the User's Manual for all program option locations). If poly-A is selected, identification and subsequent trimming of the poly-A is completed. Sequences without a recognized poly-A sequence are placed in a separate file and are not processed further. The user can also determine how far into a sequence the poly-A tail search will continue. Poly-A tail identification, based on the approach found in the EMBOSS program 'trimest' [19], occurs if 4 or more consecutive A's are found within the specified range. The poly-A tail search will be extended until more than 1 non-A character is identified. If a search limit has been specified, the algorithm will extend the poly-A tail beyond the range to most effectively trim the sequence. While the poly-A identification is running, the algorithm is also applied to identify poly-T heads which are then reverse complemented and placed in the proper 5' to 3'orientation for all further analyses. The trimmed files, containing all sequences in the 5' to 3' orientation, and non-trimmed files generated during this process are saved for further use if desired. Without the Poly-A selection, all reads are processed in their input orientation and must be oriented in the 5' to 3' direction for use in non-transcriptomic approaches.

The second step of user input is to choose the desired 'cut site' area. The user can choose the area of predicted cut sites from either end or along the entire length of the sequence. The cut sites are predicted using pattern or expression matching functionality inherent in Perl. Fasta files provided by the user are opened and each sequence line is read as a variable to which the master list of enzymes available from the REbase database [17] are identified using the regular expression matching functionality. The 3' and 5' options enable the selection of a range of the



Fig 1. CisSERS experimental flow chart. A graphical depiction of the three phases of the CisSERS process and their subsections.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.g001

sequence to be analyzed from the desired end. The user enters this information by dragging the slider or entering the exact positional information in the dialog boxes below the slider diagram.

The third and final input required prior to initiating analysis by *Cis*SERS is the enzyme selection. The master list of enzymes is retrieved from the REbase database [17] and can be periodically updated by the user from within *Cis*SERS if the host computer has internet access. Enzyme selection can be done through a checkbox tree, a filtering window, or through a name/site search. This allows the user to choose enzymes meeting a number of criteria including custom lists. User defined enzymes and recognition sequences can also be added to the database if desired. The options menu also allows the user to select which outputs are desired prior to starting the analysis.

PLOS ONE

Analysis

After all user inputs are entered, selecting 'Run' at the bottom of the screen begins the analysis process. During this time, a 'processing' tab is shown depicting the progress of the analysis through each stage. Once the analysis is complete, this tab will disappear and 'Summary', 'Best' and 'Top' tabs will appear when the 'Sequences have Poly-A tails' box is selected; when the 'Sequences have Poly-A tails' box is not selected, an additional 'Gel Visualization' tab appears.

Results/Outputs

The primary outputs of CisSERS are: Summary, Best, Top tables and Predicted Gel Visualization as mentioned previously. Each of these outputs is shown on an individual tab and can be saved individually by CisSERS. Projects can be saved and reloaded to eliminate the need to reprocess the data.

The 'Summary' table displays the total results for each enzyme. This includes the total number of sequences which contain at least one occurrence of that enzyme's recognition sequence, the total number of cut sites in the fasta file and the percentage of sequences that are cut by this enzyme. The 'Best' table is used for finding combinations of enzymes that cut the most sequences. For 3'UTR sequencing, a minimum number of enzymes that cut nearly every transcript in the desired range are ideal. Using a greedy approach, where sequences cut by the best enzyme are removed and the next best enzyme is identified, the enzymes are listed with the combined percentage of sequences cut. Additionally, since there are minimum lengths for processing DNA through some applications, the 'Best' table also displays cut sites in the 'Pre-cut Area', the area between the beginning of the sequence and the beginning of the desired cut area. The last table produced is the 'Top' table. This table is a filtered version of the 'Summary' table that only displays the enzymes cutting a minimum percentage of the sequences. This setting defaults to 95% and is adjustable through the options menu. These data tables combine to inform the user of the restriction site information necessary to enable many biological approaches.

Cut Site Identification and Gel Visualization

Basic functionalities of *Cis*SERS were tested by evaluating its ability to properly identify restriction sites or motifs using expression matching functionality in Perl and produce a predicted gel image on datasets constructed with known restriction enzyme recognition site sequence inserted into the middle of poly-T sequences resulting in unique sequences with a final length of 60 bases. The set of formulas *Cis*SERS uses to produce fragment size to distance relationships were derived and modified from previous reports [9–12]. Briefly, the published formulae describe fragment to fragment interaction in a resistive manner and thus different sized DNA fragments have a size specific differential resistance associated with them while passing through a matrix of agarose fragments. These size specific differential resistance associations were applied to Ohm's Law, which states that current multiplied by resistance equals voltage (IR = V), and using a voltage constant of 70 VDC to obtain size specific current values. The size specific current values were extrapolated as velocity values and, utilizing a time constant of 45 minutes, distance relationships were obtained using the velocity multiplied by time equals distance equation (VT = D).

Custom Motif Identification

The ability to examine a certain set of sequences for shared motifs has vast applications. These motifs could be cis-acting transcriptional or translational regulators that impact gene expression and ultimately the phenotype of the organism. CisSERS offers this distinctive feature to search for custom motifs enabling such analyses. Custom motifs are input by the user and



Motif Name	Motif	Total number of Identified sites	Total number of gene Identified sites
weakTss-10-4N	WWWWWNNNATG	4847	227
weakTss-10-5N	WWWWWWNNNNATG	4715	220
weakTss-10-6N	WWWWWWNNNNNATG	4759	206
weakTss-10-7N	WWWWWWNNNNNNATG	4769	197
weakTss-10-8N	WWWWWWNNNNNNATG	4585	192
weakTss-10-9N	WWWWWWNNNNNNNNATG	4544	196
weakTss-10-10N	WWWWWWNNNNNNNNNATG	4669	208
weakTss-10-11N	WWWWWWNNNNNNNNNNATG	4565	212
weakTss-10-12N	WWWWWWNNNNNNNNNNNNATG	4488	217

Table 2. AT rich transcription initiation motifs, total motif sites and number of identified motifs associated with annotated gene transcription initiation areas.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.t002

added to the Cut Sequence list. They are selected via the check box option and are identified in the sequence of interest based on the regular expression matching functionality in Perl.

Demonstration case 1. The motif detection feature of *Cis*SERS was validated by analyzing the *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7107 genome. *Nostoc* is a commonly used nitrogen fixing microbe used in undergraduate curriculum and possesses a circular genome. The *Nostoc* genes may start with a Pribnow box or an alternate AT rich version that corresponds to the IUPAC code WWWWW motif where W corresponds to either an A or a T. This motif is generally located between the -4 and -12 position relative to the ATG start codon. The number of bases between the motif and the start codon was varied by inserting 4–12 N's, WWWWWN_{4–12} yielding the motifs shown in <u>Table 2</u>. Annotated genes with these motifs were identified and analyzed for the subset of genes that contains all 9 motifs in the transcriptional initiation area.

Demonstration case 2. Typically polyadenylation of mRNA 3' untranslated region (UTR) requires a polyadenylation initiation site that facilitates the binding of the cleavage/polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF) complex which cleaves and polyadenylates the 3' end. The majority of eukaryotic mRNA transcripts possess a polyadenine (polyA) tract on the 3' end of the transcript. The polyA tract has been implicated in regulation of mRNA degradation and translation [13]. Alternative processing of mRNA transcripts can lead to different isoforms of a gene either performing alternative functions, differential regulation in a pathway or gene autoregulation or non-functionality of a gene product [14]. Among the different forms of alternative processing is the existence of premature polyadenylation of a transcript. CisSERS was used to find the predicted polyA initiation sites within 300 bases of the terminal 3' reported base for each of the ESTs contained in the TAIR ATH_cDNA_EST_sequences_FASTA file for transcripts that could support this form of alternative mRNA processing. Due to the large memory requirements to process the complete file, the ATH cDNA EST sequences FASTA file was subdivided into 37 datasets, 36 files containing 50,000 fasta sequences and 1 containing 16,638 sequences, for processing by CisSERS and the individual subset results were collated. The human canonical AATAAA polyA initiation recognition site, as well as previously identified eukaryotic polyA initiation recognition sites [15], were used as input motifs to identify polyA initiation recognition sites present in the expressed sequence tags of the Arabidopsis dataset (Table 3).

CAPS marker development

CAPS marker development is an important feature of *Cis*SERS that was tested to verify the utility of this function. CAPS markers were developed for diploid and polyploid species by



PolyA Motif Name	Motif	Number of Motifs Found in the EST dataset	Percentage of ESTs Motif Found
PolyA_Init_1	AAAAAG	358,447	19.73%
PolyA_Init_10	GATAAA	117,536	6.47%
PolyA_Init_11	TATAAA	169,316	9.32%
PolyA_Init_2	AAGAAA	446,967	24.60%
PolyA_Init_3	AATACA	152,030	8.37%
PolyA_Init_4	AATAGA	152,717	8.41%
PolyA_Init_5	AATATA	142,002	7.82%
PolyA_Init_6	ACTAAA	224,897	12.38%
PolyA_Init_7	AGTAAA	166,592	9.17%
PolyA_Init_8	ATTAAA	174,486	9.60%
PolyA_Init_9	CATAAA	135,197	7.44%
PolyA_Init_canonical	AATAAA	246,069	13.55%

Table 3. CisSERS summary table of analysis of potential polyA initiation sites from 1,816,638 Arabidopsis cDNAs of the ATH_cDNA_EST_sequences_FASTA dataset from the ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/Sequences/ website.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.t003

analyzing the input sequences in demonstration cases 1 and 2 with CisSERS using all restriction enzymes. The restriction digestion results were visualized using the virtual gel output and digestions patterns were visually parsed for discernible differences in sizes of the digested DNA fragments with each restriction enzyme. A single restriction enzyme or a combination of preferably two enzymes can be used to obtain different restriction digestion pattern from similar sequences with embedded polymorphisms, thus resulting in the development of a CAPS marker. As NEBcutter V2.0 does not have a method for analyzing multiple sequences in parallel, which is critical for enzyme comparison, only CisSERS-identified enzymes for CAPS markers were analyzed in subsequent biological experiments.

Demonstration case 1. ATPC1 is one of the two nuclear encoded genes in *Arabidopsis* for the γ subunit of the chloroplast ATP synthase [17]. The coupling factor quick recovery (*cfq*) mutant of *Arabidopsis* was identified as a point mutation in the ATPC1 gene and reduces overall photosynthetic capabilities [18]. The sequences of wild type *Arabidopsis* ATPC1 and the cfq mutant form were processed through *Cis*SERS. The purpose for *Cis*SERS analysis was to identify at least one restriction enzyme displaying significant visual differences for use as a CAPS marker to enable population screening. DNA was extracted from wild type, cfq mutant, and heterozygous *Arabidopsis* plants and the ATPC1 gene was amplified. The product was then digested with TaqI identified by *Cis*SERS for 1 hour at 65°C and electrophoresed on a 10% TBE-Acrylamide gel (Bio-Rad), stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized (Fig 2).

Demonstration case 2. A gene putatively involved in bitter-pit disorder of apple was identified in previous work (Schaeffer and Dhingra, unpublished). Apple is an allotetraploid with a recently published genome [20]. Cloning and sequencing of this gene from eight apple cultivars varying in degree of disorder prevalence was completed. These sequences were then analyzed with *CisS*ERS to identify an enzyme which separates the major alleles present in these cultivars. Wet-lab evaluation was performed by amplifying the region and digesting with Cac8I for 3 hours at 37°C. The resulting DNA fragments were electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel and visualized (Fig 3).

High-Throughput Analysis

*Cis*SERS has the unique capability of analyzing large datasets rapidly, which is a major upgrade compared to other restriction site analysis programs. To demonstrate this functionality, the



Fig 2. Demonstration case 1: *CisSERS* predicted gel image vs. wet-lab gel visualization test with the *Arabidopsis* ATPC1, cfq mutant sequences. The two were linked to create the "F1 het" lane image while the F1 heterozygous plant DNA was analyzed and labeled "F1 het" in the wet-lab validation image. The banding patterns of all three samples of the *CisSERS* prediction match the wet-lab validation confirming the effectiveness of *CisSERS* to determine effective CAPS marker enzymes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.g002

PLOS ONE

Arabidopsis thaliana EST cDNA dataset was downloaded from the TAIR website and processed with *Cis*SERS. 1,816,638 sequences with an average length of 321 bases, longest sequence of 2,883 bases and the shortest EST of 1 base. To limit the output for <u>Table 4</u> and to emphasize the customization of enzyme selection, the 6 base cutter restriction enzyme set was used to process the entire dataset.

Results

Custom Motif Identification

Demonstration case 1. While there are few canonical transcriptional start sites (TATAAT sites) associated with *Nostoc* genes (Nos7107_0081 hypothetical protein and Nos7101_1087 group 1 glycosyl transferase on the forward strand and Nos7107_3714 hypothetical protein on the reverse strand), the distinctive functionality of *CisSERS* can be used with the degenerate nucleotide base codes to increase the identification of possible *cis*-element Pribnow box motif



Fig 3. Demonstration case 2: *CisSERS predicted gel image vs. wet-lab gel visualization test with the Galli sequences. A. CisSERS predicted gel image of 12 identified alleles from 8 apple cultivar's cDNA clones, and 2 linked gel images (Gold_Del, and Red_Grav). B.* Wet-lab electrophoresed gel image of amplified products (#a) and corresponding restriction digest (#b); 1. 'Macintosh', 2. 'Winesap', 3. 'Red Gravenstein', 4. 'Haralson', 5. 'Cox's Orange Pippin', 6. 'Braeburn', 7. 'HoneyCrisp', 8. 'Golden Delicious', MM = 100bp DNA molecular marker. Analysis of the individual cultivars (A: Haralson 2 and B: 4b) suggest that 'Haralson' is homozygous for the sequenced allele; (A: Macintosh 9, Macintosh 2, Macintosh 5 and B: 1b) indicates that each allele present in 'Macintosh' is not yet sequenced; and (A: Cox_Org 10, Cox_Org 5 and B: 5b) also indicates that each allele of 'Cox's Orange Pippin' has not yet been sequenced.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.g003

variations. A total of 41,941 potential AT rich transcriptional start sites are present in the *Nos-toc* genome on the forward strand when the 6 base AT rich site is moved through the -7 to -16 ATG upstream area (<u>Table 2</u>). Of the 41,941 motifs found, 1,875 corresponded to the anno-tated transcription initiation site area. 12 of the 1,875 identified annotated genes were identified to contain all 9 possible 6-base AT rich potential transcriptional start site motifs.

Demonstration case 2. Of the 1,816,638 ESTs in the *Arabidopsis* dataset, 36.86% of the ESTs possess multiple predicted polyA initiation recognition sites within 300 bases of the terminal 3' base. The number of ESTs and the percentage of the polyA initiation site motif in comparison to the total number of ESTs can be found in <u>Table 3</u>. The recognized polyA initiation motifs ranged from a low of 6.47% to a high of 24.60% of the EST database. An increase of 36.86% of recognized motifs in comparison to the number of ESTs indicate there are ESTs with multiple polyA initiation recognition sites. While *Cis*SERS may not be capable to differentiate which of these sites is utilized *in vivo* the information *Cis*SERS provides enables an additional level of focus to which ESTs may possess multiple polyA initiation sites as well as which ESTs could be transcriptionally regulated due to premature polyA extension of the transcript.



Aatll* GACCTC 66,910 70,203 4.37% Begl CTCCAC 133,22 145,615 8.72% Accl* GTMKAC 607,594 884,956 39,73% BsWl* CCTAC 133,220 36,309 2.31% Accl* TCCGGA 90,063 55,917 5,89% Bso11*6 GETCC 258,729 300,090 16.92% Acl* TCCGGA 930,433 1,555,052 58.21% Bsp14071* TCTAC 34,627 1,23,399 48,74% Acl* CTAGA 330,571 141,810 8,69% Bsp11* CCRAC 336,757 762,139 22.01% AllIII ACRYCT 40,914 617,710 30.13% BssEL* CCRAC 63,03 3.686 0.52% Apal* GTGCAC 74,527 49,058 3.11% Bst11071* CTACC 63,767 762,139 2.21% Apal* GTGCAC 75,57 49,058 3.11% Bst11071* CTACC 56,807 3.88%	
Accl* CTREAC 607.694 84.956 39.73% BsWI* CCRTECC 25.200 36.309 2.31% AcclI* TCCGGA 90.096 95.917 5.89% Bso311* GGTCTC 258.799 300.090 16.92% Acsl* RAATY 890.483 1.555.052 58.21% Bsp1407i* TGTACA 34.156 37.236 2.23% Acul* CTGAA 330.051 398.938 21.58% BspLI* TGTACA 34.156 37.236 2.23% Acul* CTGAA 330.051 398.938 21.58% BspLI* GGTCCC 36.757 762.139 22.01% AllI* ACRYGT 460.914 617.710 30.13% BssECI* ccnncg 563.045 1.34,552 36.81% Apal* GGCCC 21,606 23.12 1.41% BssH11071* GTATAC 54.764 66.807 3.58% Apal* GTGCCA 71,576 29.30% BstO1* CTCTC 657.095 1.014,786 42.96%<	
Accill* TCCCGA 90.096 95.917 5.89% Bso11** GTCTC 258.799 300.090 16.92% Acill* AACGTT 128.216 137.480 B.38% Bsp12861* GDECHC 745.627 1.233.399 48.74% Acul* CTGAAG 330.051 398.938 21.58% Bsp147* TCATGA 43.057 762.139 22.01% Adill* CTGAAG 132.971 141.810 B.69% BspLl* GGINCC 83.6757 762.139 22.01% Adill* GGGCC 21.606 23.212 1.41% BssH1* CCCCCC 8.030 8.2661 0.52% Apal* GGGCC 47.527 49.058 3.11% BstH107* GTATC 41.2601 58.07 3.58% Aval* CYGGK 62.628 93.761 4.99% BstBA* CTCTC 41.2601 528.315 26.97% Ball* TGCCA 111.189 119.300 7.27% BstBA* CCTTTAC 412.601 23.621 <td></td>	
Aclif* AACGTT 128,216 137,400 8.38% Bsp12861* GDCHC 745,627 1,233,399 48,74% Acul* CTAGAG 300,051 398,938 21,58% Bsp11* TCTAGA 30,053 2,23% AtIII* CTTAAG 132,971 141,810 8.69% BspL1* GGNCC 366,757 762,139 22.01% AtIII* CTTAAG 132,971 141,810 8.69% BspL1* GGNCC 580,455 762,139 22.01% Apal* GGCCC 160 23,212 1.41% BssH1* CCNCG 68,000 8.266 0.52% Apal* CTCCA 47,527 49,058 3.11% BstH11071* CTTATA 57,764 56,807 3.58% Aval* CTCCA 47,527 49,058 3.11% BstBL* CTCTT 67,055 1.014,766 42.96% Bal* TGTCCA 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstCB* CCNTGC 458,532 593,070 29.98%	
Acs1* B0ATTY B09,483 1,555,052 58.21% Bsp14071* TGTACA 34,156 37,236 2.23% Acul* CTGAAC 330,051 398,938 21.58% BspL1* CGNAC 36,675 762,139 22.01% AllII* CTGAAC 30,051 60,914 617,710 30.13% BssEC1* CGNACC 36,675 762,139 22.01% Apal* GGGCCC 21,066 23,212 1.41% BssH1* CGCACC 8,030 8,266 0.52% Apal* GTGCCC 47,527 49,058 3.11% BstI101** GTGCC 54,764 56,807 3.58% Ayal* CTCGGAC 47,527 49,058 3.11% BstIB1** CTCTC 65,7095 1.014,786 42.96% BaeGI* GTGCC 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstCB* CTRTAC 516,502 593,070 29.98% BanH* GGYCC 466,532 593,070 29.98% BstM2* CTRTAC 191,390 </td <td></td>	
Ácul* CTGAAG 330,051 396,938 21.58% BspHI* TCATGA 43,037 46,935 2.81% AfIII* CTTAAG 132,971 141,810 8.69% BspLI* GCNC 357.77 762,139 22.01% AfIII* AGGCC 21.606 23.212 1.41% BssECI* CCCNNG 563.045 1.374,552 36.81% Apal* CTCGRG 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstI* CTCTC 57.095 1.014,786 42.90% BaeGI* GTGCAC 111,89 119.300 7.27% BstC81* CCNTC 57.095 1.014,786 42.90% BamHi CGATCC 113,866 122,596 7.44% BstDS1* CCRTGG 458,532 593,070 29.98% Banl* GGATCC 458,532 593,070 29.98% BstMC1* CGRTGG 352,21 417,841 21,85% Banl* GGATCC 452,550 231,475 13,43% BstIV2* GAAGAC 12,417 12	
Atili CTTAAG 132,971 141,810 8.69% BspLi* GGNNCC 336,757 762,139 22.01% Atili ACRYCT 460,914 617,710 30.13% BssEC1* CCNGG 63.045 1,374,552 38.11% Apal* GTGCC 21,606 23,212 1.41% BssE10* CCNGG 63.045 1,374,552 38.11% Apal* GTGCC 21,606 23,212 1.41% BssE10* CCTCTC 657,095 1.014,786 42.96% BaeGI* GGCCC 76,258 93,761 4.99% BstBA!* YCTCT 657,095 1.014,786 42.96% BamHi GGACCC 113,866 122,596 7.44% BstDSI* CCTCTG 636,621 15,776 29.96% Banl* GGACC 485,532 593,070 29.96% BstMCI* CGRYGG 36,621 417,841 21.96% Banl* GGACC 462,542 15,716 0.368% BstVI* GAAACC 12,947 25,20	
Atilii AcRYGT 460,914 617,710 30.13% BssECI* CCNNGG 563,045 1,374,552 36.81% Apal* GCGCCC 21,606 23,212 1,41% BssHil* GCCCCG 8,030 8,266 0.52% Apal.* GTGCAC 47,527 49,058 3,11% BstH1071* GTATAC 54,764 56,807 3.58% Aval* CYCGR 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstB1* CTCTTC 657,095 1,014,786 42.96% Baedi* GGCCC 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstCB1* CCTTGT 658,532 593,070 29.98% BanHi GGCCC 113,866 122,596 7.44% BstDC1* CGRYGG 366,221 417,841 21.98% Bani* GGYCC 465,928 609,100 30.26% BstV21* GAAGC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Boll* TGATCA 25,569 231,475 13.43% BstU21* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Boll GGCATC 74,558 81,931 4	
Apal* GGGCC 21,606 23,212 1.41% BssHll* GCGCC 8,030 8,266 0.52% Apal* GTGCAC 47,527 49,058 3.11% Bst1107! GTATAC 54,764 56,807 3.88% Aval* CYCGRG 462,908 609,100 30.26% Bst61* GTATAC 54,764 56,807 3.88% Balf GGCCC 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstG1* GCNIGC 451,250 715,776 29.50% Banl* GGCCC 458,532 593,070 29.98% BstMC1* CGRYGC 458,512 17.776 29.50% Banl* GGYCC 462,598 609,100 30.26% BstV1* GRACC 412,497 525,230 29.98% Banl* GGYCC 465,542 815,716 36.96% BstV1* GRACC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Banl* GGYCC 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* GTACC 91,390 26,6518 1	
ApaLI* GTGCAC 47,527 49,058 3.11% Bst1107!* GTATAC 54,764 56,807 3.58% Aval* CYCGR6 462,908 609,100 30.26% Bst61* CTCTT 657,095 1,014,786 42.96% BaeGl* GKGCMC 76,258 93,761 4.99% BstBAI* YacGTR 412,601 528,315 26.97% Ball* TGGCCA 113,866 122,596 7.44% BstDSI* CCRYG 456,532 593,070 29.98% Banl* GGYCC 456,532 593,070 29.98% BstNCI* CRYG 36,617 12.51% Banl* GGYCC 465,509 231,475 13.43% BstV2I* GAGAC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Boll* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstI* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Bjll ACGTG 74,558 81,931 4.87% BsuI* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97%	
Aval* CYCGRG 462,908 609,100 30.26% Bst6l* CTCTTC 67,095 1,014,786 42.96% BaeGI* CKGCMC 76,258 93,761 4.99% BstBAI* YACGTR 412,601 528,315 26.97% Ball* TGGCC 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstC81* GCNRCG 450,532 593,070 29.98% Banl* GGYCC 458,532 593,070 29.98% BstWC1* CRYCG 336,221 417,841 21.98% Banl* GGYCC 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstV21* GAAGAC 412,497 525,300 26.97% Blu* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstV21* GAGAC 412,497 525,300 26.97% Blu* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstV21* GAGAC 144,883 43.51% Blu* CTTACA 23,829 266,983 15.42% Btg1 GCATC 143,795 155,002 9.44	
BaeGi* GKGCMC 76,258 93,761 4.99% BstBAI* YACGTR 412,601 528,315 26.97% Ball* TGGCCA 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstC8I* GCNNCC 451,250 715,776 29.50% BamHI GGATCC 13,866 122,596 7.44% BstDSI* CGRYGG 356,221 417,841 21.98% BanI* GRGYCC 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstSFI* CTRYAG 191,300 265,617 12.51% Bbv121* GWGCWC 565,452 815,716 36.96% BstV21* GAGACC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Bcll* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstV21* RGATCY 665,518 1,048,893 43.51% Blul* CCATGG 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* CFATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Bgill AGATCT 236,829 269,983 15.42% BtgZI GCGATG 147,963 <	
Ball* TGGCCA 111,189 119,300 7.27% BstCB1* GCNNCC 451,250 715,776 29,50% BamHI GGATCC 113,866 122,596 7.44% BstDS1* CCRYGC 458,532 593,070 29,98% BstMC1* CGRYCG 36,221 417,841 21,98% Banl* GGYRCC 456,532 593,070 29,98% BstMC1* CGRYCG 36,221 417,841 21,98% Banl* GRGCYC 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstSP1* CTRYAG 191,390 266,617 12,51% Bbv121* GRGCYC 74,558 81,931 4.87% BstV21* GGATC 143,795 155,002 9,40% Blu1* CCTAGG 36,333 37,306 2.38% BlsI GCAGTC 170,608 190,109 11,15% Bml* CTGGAG 36,333 37,306 2.38% BtsI GCAGTC 170,608 190,109 11,15% Bml* CTGGAG 36,4371 144,909<	
BamHI GGATCC 113,866 122,596 7.44% BstDSI* CCRYGG 458,532 593,070 29.98% BanI* GGYRCC 458,532 593,070 29.98% BstMCI* CGRYCG 336,221 417,841 21.98% BanI* GRCYC 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstSFI* CTRYAG 191,390 266,617 12.51% Bbv121* GMCCWC 565,452 815,716 36.96% BstV21* GAAGAC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Bcll* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstV21* GAAGAC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Bdll* ACCTGC 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* GGATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Bdll* ACTGG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Btsl GCATCT 143,795 155,002 9.40% Bml* CTGAG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Btsl GCATCT 100,199 11.15% <td></td>	
Banl* GGYRCC 458,532 593,070 29.98% BstMCl* CGRYCG 336,221 417,841 21.98% Banli* GRCYC 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstSFI* CTRYAG 191,390 266,617 12.51% Bbv121* GWCW 565,452 815,716 36.96% BstV21* GAAGAC 412,497 525,230 26.97% BclI* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstV21* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% BglI ACGTCG 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% BglI ACGTCG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Bsul* GCAGTG 143,795 15.002 94.0% Bml* ACTGGA 68,520 73,919 4.48% Clr101* RCGGYC 447,942 605,631 29.28% BpuEl CTGAGA 34,4371 414,909 22.51% Dral TTTAAA 221,89 25,617	
Banll* GRGCYC 462,908 609,100 30.26% BstSFI* CTRYAG 191,390 266,617 12.51% Bbv121* GWGCWC 565,452 815,716 36.96% BstV21* GAAGAC 412,497 525,230 26.97% BclI* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstX21* RGATCY 665,518 1,048,893 43.51% BluI ACCTGC 74,558 81,931 4.87% BguI* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% BglII AGATCT 235,829 269,983 15.42% BtgZI GCGATG 143,795 155,002 9.40% Binl* CCTAGG 36,333 37.306 2.38% BtsI GCAGTG 147,063 190,109 11.15% Bmul* ACTGGA 68,520 73,919 4.48% CIr101* RCCGTY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGGAG 63,862 274,263 15.61% CIal* ATCGAT 147,263 161,7	
Bbv12!* GWGCWC 565,452 815,716 36.96% BstV2!* GAAGAC 412,497 525,230 26.97% Bcll* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstV2!* RGATCY 665,518 1,048,893 43.51% BtuAl* ACCTGC 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Bgll AGATCT 235,829 269,983 15.42% BlgZI GCGATG 143,795 155,002 9.40% Binl* CCTAG 36,333 37,306 2.38% BtsI GCAGTG 170,608 190,109 11.15% Bmul* ACTGGG 68,520 73,919 4.48% Cfr10!* RCCGGY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGGAG 238,862 274,263 15.61% Cla!* ATCGAT 147,263 161,798 9.63% BpuEl CTTGAG 344,371 414,909 22.51% Dral TTTAAA 221,889 257,617 </td <td></td>	
Bell* TGATCA 205,509 231,475 13.43% BstX2l* RGATCY 665,518 1,048,893 43.51% BfuAl* ACCTGC 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Bgll AGATCT 235,829 269,983 15.42% BtgZl GCGATG 143,795 155,002 9.40% Bln* CCTAGG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Btsl GCATG 143,795 155,002 9.40% Bmul* ACTGGG 68,520 73,919 4.48% Cfr101* RCCGGY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGGAG 238,862 274,263 15.61% Clal* ATCGAT 147,263 161,798 9.63% BpuEl CTTGAG 344,371 414,909 22.51% Dral TTTAA 221,889 257,617 14.51% BsaWI WCCGGW 610,391 902,258 39.90% Eael* YGGCCR 447,942 605,631	
BfuAl* ACCTGC 74,558 81,931 4.87% Bsul* GTATCC 91,341 100,997 5.97% Bglil AGATCT 235,829 269,983 15.42% BtgZl GCGATG 143,795 155,002 9.40% Bln* CCTAGG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Btsl GCAGTG 170,608 190,109 11.15% Bmul* ACTGGG 68,520 73,919 4.48% Cfr10!* RCCGGY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGGAG 238,862 274,263 15.61% Clal* ATCGAT 147,263 161,798 9.63% BpuEl CTTGAG 344,371 414,909 22.51% Dral TTTAAA 221,889 257,617 14.51% BsaWI WCCGGW 610,391 902,258 39.90% Eael* YGGCCR 447,942 605,631 29.28% BseBRI GAAGG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Ecol GGCCGA 150,946 170,687	
Bglll AGATCT 235,829 269,983 15.42% BtgZl GCGATG 143,795 155,002 9.40% Binl* CCTAGG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Btsl GCAGTG 170,608 190,109 11.15% Bmul* ACTGGG 68,520 73,919 4.48% Cfr101* RCCGGY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGAG 238,862 274,263 15.61% Clal* ATCGAT 147,263 161,798 9.63% BpuEl CTTGAG 344,371 414,909 22.51% Dral TTTAAA 221,889 257,617 14.51% BsaWI WCCGGW 610,391 902,258 39.90% Eael* YGGCCR 447,942 605,631 29.28% BseBaDI* GCAATG 213,691 236,982 13.97% Ecil GGCGGA 150,946 170,687 9.87% BseBRI GAGAG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Eco47III* AGCGT 33,781 35,254 2.21% Eco521* CGGCCG 39,284 42,514 2.57%<	
Bini* CCTAGG 36,333 37,306 2.38% Btsl GCAGTG 170,608 190,109 11.15% Bmul* ACTGGG 68,520 73,919 4.48% Cfr101* RCCGGY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGGA 238,862 274,263 15.61% Clal* ATCGAT 147,263 161,798 9.63% BpuEl CTTGAG 344,371 414,909 22.51% Drai TTTAAA 221,889 257,617 14.51% BsaWI WCCGGW 610,391 902,258 39.90% Eael* YGGCCR 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bse3D1* GCAATG 213,691 236,982 13.97% Ecil GCGGGA 150,946 170,687 9.87% BseRI GAGAG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Eco47III* ACGGCT 33,781 35,254 2.21% Eco521* CGGCG 39,284 42,514 2.57% NspV* TTGAA 93,554 100,814 6.12% Eco57MI CTGRAG 495,072 672,679 32.36% <td></td>	
Bmul* ACTGGG 68,520 73,919 4.48% Cfr10l* RCCGGY 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bpml* CTGGAG 238,862 274,263 15.61% Clal* ATCGAT 147,263 161,798 9.63% BpuEl CTTGAG 344,371 414,909 22.51% Dral TTTAAA 221,889 257,617 14.51% BsaWI WCCGGW 610,391 902,258 39.90% Eael* YGGCCR 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bse3DI* GCAATG 213,691 236,982 13.97% Ecil GGCGGA 150,946 170,687 9.87% BseRI GAGGAG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Eco47III* AGCGCT 33,781 35,254 2.21% Eco521* CGGCCG 39,284 42,514 2.57% NspV* TTCGAA 93,554 100,814 6.12% Eco57MI CTGRAG 495,072 672,679 32.36% Poil* ACATGT 132,704 143,845 8.68% EcoRV* GATTC 132,547 142,270 8.	
Bpml*CTGGAG238,862274,26315.61%Clal*ATCGAT147,263161,7989.63%BpuElCTTGAG344,371414,90922.51%DralTTTAAA221,889257,61714.51%BsaWIWCCGGW610,391902,25839.90%Eael*YGGCCR447,942605,63129.28%Bse3DI*GCAATG213,691236,98213.97%EcilGGCGGA150,946170,6879.87%BseRIGAGGAG479,092689,96031.32%Eco47III*AGCGCT33,78135,2542.21%Eco52I*CGGCCG39,28442,5142.57%NspV*TTCGAA93,554100,8146.12%Eco57MICTGRAG495,072672,67932.36%Pcil*ACATGT132,704143,8458.68%EcoRIGAATC132,547142,2708.66%PinAl*ACCGGT109,189118,7217.14%EcoRV*GATATC140,416152,0129.18%Psil*TTATAA152,592167,8709.98%Esp31*CGTCTC135,776159,9588.88%PspCI*CACGTG42,48946,3262.78%Fspl*TGCGCA33,98334,6972.22%PstlCTGCAG130,827143,2168.55%Haell*RCGCY261,757314,03617.11%Pvul*CGATG59,41862,8673.88%Hin1I*GRCGYC418,344541,23027.35%PvullCAGCTG156,402 </td <td></td>	
BpuEICTTGAG344,371414,90922.51%DralTTTAAA221,889257,61714.51%BsaWIWCCGGW610,391902,25839.90%Eael*YGGCCR447,942605,63129.28%Bse3DI*GCAATG213,691236,98213.97%EcilGGCGGA150,946170,6879.87%BseRIGAGGAG479,092689,96031.32%Eco47III*AGCGCT33,78135,2542.21%Eco52I*CGGCCG39,28442,5142.57%NspV*TTCGAA93,554100,8146.12%Eco57MICTGRAG495,072672,67932.36%Pcil*ACATGT132,704143,8458.68%EcoRIGAATTC132,547142,2708.66%PinAl*ACCGGT109,189118,7217.14%EcoRV*GATATC140,416152,0129.18%Psil*TTATAA152,592167,8709.98%Esp31*CGTCTC135,776159,9588.88%PspCI*CACGTG42,48946,3262.78%Fspl*TGCGCA33,98334,6972.22%PstlCTGCAG130,827143,2168.55%Haell*RGCGY261,757314,03617.11%PvuI*CGATCG59,41862,8673.88%Hin1I*GRCGYC418,344541,23027.35%PvuIICAGCTG156,402173,37610.22%HinclI*GTYRAC652,459970,19742,65%SacI*GAGCTC180,	
BsaWI wccggw 610,391 902,258 39.90% Eael* YGGCCR 447,942 605,631 29.28% Bse3DI* GCAATG 213,691 236,982 13.97% Ecil GGCGGA 150,946 170,687 9.87% BseRI GAGGAG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Eco47III* AGCGCT 33,781 35,254 2.21% Eco52I* CGGCCG 39,284 42,514 2.57% NspV* TTCGAA 93,554 100,814 6.12% Eco57MI CTGRAG 495,072 672,679 32.36% Pcil* ACATGT 132,704 143,845 8.68% EcoRI GAATTC 132,547 142,270 8.66% PinAl* ACCGGT 109,189 118,721 7.14% EcoRV* GATATC 132,576 159,958 8.88% PspCI* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% Fspl* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% PstI CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 <td></td>	
Bse3DI* GCAATG 213,691 236,982 13.97% Ecil GGCGGA 150,946 170,687 9.87% BseRI GAGGAG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Eco471II* AGCGCT 33,781 35,254 2.21% Eco52I* CGGCCG 39,284 42,514 2.57% NspV* TTCGAA 93,554 100,814 6.12% Eco57MI CTGRAG 495,072 672,679 32.36% Pcil* ACATGT 132,704 143,845 8.68% EcoRI GAATTC 132,547 142,270 8.66% PinAl* ACCGGT 109,189 118,721 7.14% EcoRV* GATATC 140,416 152,012 9.18% Psil* TTATAA 152,592 167,870 9.98% Esp31* CGTCTC 135,776 159,958 8.88% PspCI* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% FspI* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% PstI CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11%<	
BseRI GAGGAG 479,092 689,960 31.32% Eco47III* AGCGCT 33,781 35,254 2.21% Eco52I* CGGCCG 39,284 42,514 2.57% NspV* TTCGAA 93,554 100,814 6.12% Eco57MI CTGRAG 495,072 672,679 32.36% Pcil* ACATGT 132,704 143,845 8.68% EcoRI GAATTC 132,547 142,270 8.66% PinAl* ACCGGT 109,189 118,721 7.14% EcoRV* GATATC 140,416 152,012 9.18% Psil* TTATAA 152,592 167,870 9.98% Esp31* CGTCTC 135,776 159,958 8.88% PspCI* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% Fspl* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% PstI CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin11* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% <td></td>	
Eco521*CGGCCG39,28442,5142.57%NspV*TTCGAA93,554100,8146.12%Eco57MICTGRAG495,072672,67932.36%Pcil*ACATGT132,704143,8458.68%EcoRIGAATTC132,547142,2708.66%PinAl*ACCGGT109,189118,7217.14%EcoRV*GATATC140,416152,0129.18%Psil*TTATAA152,592167,8709.98%Esp31*CGTCTC135,776159,9588.88%PspCI*CACGTG42,48946,3262.78%Fspl*TGCGCA33,98334,6972.22%PstlCTGCAG130,827143,2168.55%Haell*RGCGCY261,757314,03617.11%Pvul*CGATCG59,41862,8673.88%Hin11*GRCGYC418,344541,23027.35%PvullCAGCTG156,402173,37610.22%Hincll*GTYRAC652,459970,19742,65%Sacl*GAGCTC180,014198,91411,77%	
Eco57MI CTGRAG 495,072 672,679 32.36% Pcil* ACATGT 132,704 143,845 8.68% EcoRI GAATTC 132,547 142,270 8.66% PinAl* ACCGGT 109,189 118,721 7.14% EcoRV* GATATC 140,416 152,012 9.18% Psil* TTATAA 152,592 167,870 9.98% Esp31* CGTCTC 135,776 159,958 8.88% PspCI* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% Fsp1* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% Pstl CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin11* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% Pvul CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42,65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11,77%	
EcoRI GAATTC 132,547 142,270 8.66% PinAl* ACCGGT 109,189 118,721 7.14% EcoRV* GATATC 140,416 152,012 9.18% Psil* TTATAA 152,592 167,870 9.98% Esp31* CGTCTC 135,776 159,958 8.88% PspCI* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% Fsp1* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% PstI CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin11* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% PvulI CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42,65% SacI* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11,77%	
EcoRV* GATATC 140,416 152,012 9.18% Psil* TTATAA 152,592 167,870 9.98% Esp31* CGTCTC 135,776 159,958 8.88% PspCl* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% Fsp1* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% Pstl CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin11* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% Pvull CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42,65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11.77%	
Esp31* CGTCTC 135,776 159,958 8.88% PspCI* CACGTG 42,489 46,326 2.78% Fspl* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% Pstl CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin11* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% Pvull CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42.65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11.77%	
Fspl* TGCGCA 33,983 34,697 2.22% Pstl CTGCAG 130,827 143,216 8.55% Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin1l* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% Pvull CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42.65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11.77%	
Haell* RGCGCY 261,757 314,036 17.11% Pvul* CGATCG 59,418 62,867 3.88% Hin1l* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% Pvull CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42.65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11.77%	
Hin11* GRCGYC 418,344 541,230 27.35% Pvull CAGCTG 156,402 173,376 10.22% Hincll* GTYRAC 652,459 970,197 42.65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180,014 198,914 11.77%	
Hincll* GTYRAC 652.459 970.197 42.65% Sacl* GAGCTC 180.014 198.914 11.77%	
HindIII AAGCTT 353,375 429,786 23.10% SacII* CCGCGG 34,831 36,071 2.28%	
Hpal* GTTAAC 103,809 109,093 6.79% Sall GTCGAC 72,616 77,632 4.75%	
Hpy166II* GTNNAC 660,473 2,352,103 43.18% Scal* AGTACT 110,216 115,920 7.21%	
Hpy188III TCNNGA 1,310,936 4,488,839 85.70% Smal* CCCGGG 37,962 39,858 2.48%	
Kpnl* GGTACC 59,800 61,722 3.91% Smll* CTYRAG 779,347 1,353,965 50.95%	
Mlul ACGCGT 29,567 30,996 1.93% SnaBI* TACGTA 43.318 44.707 2.83%	
Mmel TCCRAC 454,882 595,189 29.74% Spel* ACTAGT 63.608 66.363 4.16%	
MspA1I CMGCKG 468,051 617,393 30.60% SphI* GCATGC 51.290 53.131 3.35%	
Muni* caattg 122,886 130,536 8.03% Sspi aatatt 162,718 182,345 10.64%	
Nael* GCCGGC 48,336 50,517 3.16% Stul* AGGCCT 66,041 68,945 4.32%	

Table 4. Restriction enzymes identified with the highest predicted cut site percentages of the Arabidopsis cDNA dataset.

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued)

Motif	Cut	# Seqs	# Total	Percent of Total	Motif	Cut	# Seqs	# Total	Percent of Total
	Seq	Cut .	Cuts	Sequences Cut		Sea	Cut	Cuts	Sequences Cut
Narl*	GGCGCC	39,029	39,944	2.55%	Styl*	CCWWGG	652,286	978,963	42.64%
Ncol*	CCATGG	180,000	196,511	11.77%	Tatl	WGTACW	702,344	1,105,498	45.91%
Ndel*	CATATG	107,887	114,961	7.05%	Tsol	TARCCA	439,177	562,079	28.71%
Nhel*	GCTAGC	61,076	63,117	3.99%	Vspl*	ATTAAT	131,420	145,945	8.59%
NmeAllI	GCCGAG	146,659	161,103	9.59%	Xbal	TCTAGA	109,179	116,409	7.14%
Nrul*	TCGCGA	40,330	42,131	2.64%	Xhol*	CTCGAG	120,878	131,508	7.90%
Nsil*	ATGCAT	119,796	128,076	7.83%					
Nspl*	RCATGY	424,159	561,111	27.73%					

Enzymes with a * symbol at the end represent multiple enzymes which all have the same recognition site.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152404.t004

CAPS marker development

Demonstration case 1. To screen a population of *Arabidopsis* for the *cfq* mutation, the wild type (WT) and mutant sequences (cfq) were processed using *CisSERS*. The analysis revealed TaqI as an enzyme that generates clear differences due to the point mutation (Fig 2a). Biological examination of the wild type, cfq mutant, and F1 heterozygous plants was conducted through digestion of the amplified ATPC1 gene product. Visualization of the digestion pattern was completed with a 10% polyacrylamide gel (Fig 2b). The banding pattern in the biological gel matches the predicted gel image produced by *CisSERS* and verified the utility of this tool for an enzyme selection for CAPS analysis of this mutation. This CAPS marker is currently being deployed for screening of F1 and F2 plants and confirming the phenotypic observations demonstrating the utility of *CisSERS* in enabling genetics research (Cruz and Kramer, unpublished). However, *Arabidopsis* represents a diploid demonstration case with a very well defined genome. Such analyses can get complicated in the case of a sample with a higher ploidy as illustrated in the next demonstration case.

Demonstration case 2. Sequencing of a selected Mdpbag (Malus x domestica putative bitter pit associate gene) gene from eight apple cultivars yielded fifteen sequences which were manually trimmed to remove plasmid and primer sequences (S4 File). Upon CisSERS evaluation, Cac8I was chosen due to its potential to differentiate five of the alleles across these eight cultivars (Fig 3A). The wet-lab gel (Fig 3B) and the predicted gel image agreed with only three of the eight digested samples. These differences likely indicate presence of additional alleles which are not expected to be represented by the draft apple genome [[20]]. However, further analysis provides a resolution to some of the differences. CisSERS predicts the restriction digest banding pattern only of the sequence used as input, and, for heterozygous organisms, the banding pattern for a restriction enzyme digest will be representative of all alleles as seen for the 'Macintosh' predicted digest and the actual digest (Fig 3A first 3 lanes and Fig 3B lane 1b). To resolve the latter difficulty, CisSERS has the distinctive functionality to link two or more sequences together to provide more accurate predictive gel visualization. This is demonstrated with the 'Red Gravenstein' samples in Fig 3A where the 'Red_Grav4' and 'Red_Grav10' alleles were linked to produce the 'Red Grav' composite. The linked predicted gel visualization matches the wet-lab gel from 'Red Gravenstein' in lane 3b of Fig 3B. The predicted gel visualization for cloned sequences from 'Haralson' and the actual gel demonstrates the possibility that the 'Haralson' cultivar is homozygous for this allele and further in-depth investigation is warranted. The wet-lab gel digests demonstrate the remaining cultivars display a combination

of two alleles indicating these are heterozygous and require sequencing of additional clones to capture the other allele. Subsequent clone selection and sequencing for these cultivars captured additional alleles indicated from this analysis (data not shown). This process illustrated a case where *CisSERS* output identified an enzyme that was not effective at resolving all the alleles in a species with a complex genome and provided impetus to pursue additional experimentation which resulted in identification of additional alleles. Also, application of *CisSERS* in complex genomes with draft genome information can enable identification of areas that may have potential sequence inaccuracies.

High-Throughput Analyses

Demonstration Case. Analysis of 78,096 cDNA sequences from *Arabidopsis* with 41 restriction enzymes through *Cis*SERS produced the summary in <u>Table 4</u>. The individual enzymes' restriction sites were identified in a range of 1.63% to 81.28% of the total number of sequences. BssHII restriction sites represent the least number of sites in the 78,096 cDNA dataset and the largest number of restriction sites for a single enzyme was found for Bst6I at 168,429 sites. These results demonstrate the unique capability of *Cis*SERS to process large datasets for guiding enzyme selection decisions for global applications such as reduced representation sequencing.

Discussion

The results demonstrate the effectiveness and multiple distinctive functionalities of CisSERS in analyzing mature sequence data. Identifying enzymes for CAPS markers was highly effective in the *cfq* example. Interestingly, in the case of Md*pbag* sequences, the resulting information about the probable heterozygosity of the locus was critical and resulted in further investigation revealing the presence of additional alleles, thus guiding further wet lab research. The highly customizable and diverse motif detection functionality resulted in the identification of potential AT rich transcriptional start sites in the Nostoc genome. The versatility of CisSERS is evident by using the motif identification feature to predict prokaryotic promoter architecture and eukaryotic poly-adenylation (polyA) initiation recognition sites. Canonical as well as noncanonical Pribnow and polyA initiation site *cis*-element motifs in the sequence upstream of the coding sequence areas of Nostoc sp. PCC 7107, NC_019676.1, and sequence upstream of the 3' UTR area of Arabidopsis thaliana was searched and potential cis-elements were identified to facilitate future investigation. Lastly, the high-throughput analysis capabilities of CisSERS were demonstrated. Analyzing entire transcriptomes or genomes enables data-guided decision making for subsequent restriction enzyme based experimentation. High-throughput sequencing technologies are expensive and experimental design is a major component prior to sequencing. Based on the effective identification of restriction sites in standard and custom sequences, the identification of enzymes for reduced representation sequencing is also expected to be accurate and help ensure quality experimental design prior to sequencing. Combined, these experiments confirm the biological applicability of CisSERS as a highly effective addition to researcher's toolkits.

Limitations and Future Improvements

CisSERS is a comprehensive and useful tool as demonstrated in previous sections. Extremely large datasets may require higher amounts of RAM or lengthy run times when processing all enzymes and gel visualization of these datasets may cause noticeable computer lag. These limitations are overcome by increasing RAM and computer processing speeds but can also be alleviated by decreasing the amount of input sequences or the number of enzymes being

processed. *CisSERS* relies on base sequence as supplied in the fasta format which does not hold any type of sequence specific methylation data and as such any methylation susceptible restriction enzyme site identification by *CisSERS* would have to be scrutinized. At this point, methylation identification is simply based on any change in function of the restriction enzyme by methylation including: requiring methylation, requiring no-methylation and any partial specificity. Currently, each of these enzymes must be further evaluated by the user to make sure the chosen enzyme fits their project and the type of DNA they are processing.

Conclusions

As a tool developed to facilitate biological approaches, *CisSERS* enables the identification of restriction sites and custom motifs in large mature multi-sequence data files. Genotyping by sequencing and reduced representation sequencing approaches commonly utilize a restriction enzyme and *CisSERS* provides an efficient platform that will aid in the decision making process for users to determine the number of sites across the genome or transcriptome of interest. This is expected to facilitate guided development and deployment of CAPS markers for breeding and restriction enzyme selection for mutation identification that leverage the polymorphisms present in populations. Additionally, the custom motif functionality provides a convenient tool to query assembled genomes and transcriptome datasets for regions of biological interest. Overall, *CisSERS* is a standalone, open source front end tool for efficient and prudent utilization of next-generation sequencing data as the science begins to shift focus from how much data can be obtained to how we best utilize these data.

Supporting Information

S1 File. CisSERS program in .jar format available for download. (JAR)
S2 File. CisSERS User Manual. (PDF)
S3 File. CisSERS Overview and Usage Document. (PDF)
S4 File. Sequences used for CAPS marker development in this study. (PDF)
S5 File. CisSERS source code. (ZIP)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Vandhana Krishnan, Washington State University for useful discussions.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AD RMS TK. Performed the experiments: RMS TK MG MSC AH JG. Analyzed the data: RMS TK AD AK KE DMK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AD AK KE DMK. Wrote the paper: RMS TK AD.

References

- Van Tassell CP, Smith TPL, Matukumalli LK, Taylor JF, Schnabel RD, Lawley CT, et al. SNP discovery and allele frequency estimation by deep sequencing of reduced representation libraries. Nature Methods. 2008; 5(3):247–52. doi: <u>10.1038/Nmeth.1185</u>. ISI:000253777900018. PMID: <u>18297082</u>
- Sanchez CC, Smith TPL, Wiedmann RT, Vallejo RL, Salem M, Yao JB, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism discovery in rainbow trout by deep sequencing of a reduced representation library. Bmc Genomics. 2009; 10. Artn 559 doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-10-559</u>. ISI:000272791700001.
- 3. Eveland AL, McCarty DR, Koch KE. Transcript profiling by 3'-untranslated region sequencing resolves expression of gene families. Plant Physiology. 2008; 146(1):32–44.
- 4. Koepke T, Schaeffer S, Krishnan V, Jiwan D, Harper A, Whiting M, et al. Rapid gene-based SNP and haplotype marker development in non-model eukaryotes using 3 ' UTR sequencing. Bmc Genomics. 2012; 13. Artn 18.
- Krishnan V. COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES FOR COMPARATIVE GENOMICS AND TRAN-SCRIPTOMICS USING 454 SEQUENCING TECHNOLOGY: Washington State University; 2009.
- Baird NA, Etter PD, Atwood TS, Currey MC, Shiver AL, Lewis ZA, et al. Rapid SNP Discovery and Genetic Mapping Using Sequenced RAD Markers. PLOS One. 2008; 3(10). Artn E3376 doi: <u>10.1371/</u> <u>Journal.Pone.0003376</u>. ISI:000265121600002.
- Chutimanitsakun Y, Nipper RW, Cuesta-Marcos A, Cistue L, Corey A, Filichkina T, et al. Construction and application for QTL analysis of a Restriction Site Associated DNA (RAD) linkage map in barley. Bmc Genomics. 2011; 12:4.
- Gaudet M, Fara AG, Sabatti M, Kuztninsky E, Mugnozza GS. Single-reaction for SNP genotyping on agarose gel by allele-specific PCR in black poplar (Populus nigra L.). Plant Molecular Biology Reporter. 2007; 25(1–2):1–9.
- Shu YJ, Li Y, Zhu ZL, Bai X, Cai H, Ji W, et al. SNPs discovery and CAPS marker conversion in soybean. Molecular Biology Reports. 2011; 38(3):1841–6. doi: <u>10.1007/s11033-010-0300-2</u>. ISI:000286941400050. PMID: <u>20859693</u>
- Agarwal M, Shrivastava N, Padh H. Advances in molecular marker techniques and their applications in plant sciences. Plant Cell Reports. 2008; 27(4):617–31. doi: <u>10.1007/s00299-008-0507-z</u>. ISI:000254205200001. PMID: <u>18246355</u>
- Neff MM, Turk E, Kalishman M. Web-based primer design for single nucleotide polymorphism analysis. Trends in Genetics. 2002; 18(12):613–5. ISI:000179433300007. PMID: <u>12446140</u>
- Ilic K, Berleth T, Provart NJ. BlastDigester—a web-based program for efficient CAPS marker design. Trends in Genetics. 2004; 20(7):280–3. doi: <u>10.1016/j.tig.2004.04.012</u>. ISI:000222710500003. PMID: <u>15219390</u>
- Thiel T, Kota R, Grosse I, Stein N, Graner A. SNP2CAPS: a SNP and INDEL analysis tool for CAPS marker development. Nucleic Acids Research. 2004; 32(1). ARTN e5 doi: <u>10.1093/nar/gnh006</u>. ISI:000188988700005.
- Taylor J, Provart NJ. CapsID: a web-based tool for developing parsimonious sets of CAPS molecular markers for genotyping. BMC Genetics. 2006; 7. Artn 27 doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2156-7-27</u>. ISI:000237846500001.
- Zhang RF, Zhu ZH, Zhu HM, Nguyen T, Yao FX, Xia K, et al. SNP Cutter: a comprehensive tool for SNPPCR-RFLP assay design. Nucleic Acids Research. 2005; 33:W489–W92. doi: <u>10.1093/Nar/</u> Gki358. ISI:000230271400099. PMID: <u>15980518</u>
- Chang HW, Cheng YH, Chuang LY, Yang CH. SNP-RFLPing 2: an updated and integrated PCR-RFLP tool for SNP genotyping. Bmc Bioinformatics. 2010; 11. Artn 173 doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2105-11-173</u>. ISI:000277004500001.
- Vincze T, Posfai J, Roberts RJ. NEBcutter: a program to cleave DNA with restriction enzymes. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003; 31(13):3688–91. doi: <u>10.1093/Nar/Gkg526</u>. ISI:000183832900087. PMID: <u>12824395</u>
- Chang HW, Yang CH, Chang PL, Cheng YH, Chuang LY. SNP-RFLPing: restriction enzyme mining for SNPs in genomes. Bmc Genomics. 2006; 7. Artn 30 doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-7-30</u>. ISI:000235715000001.
- Williams G. EMBOSS Trimest. Available: <u>http://emboss.sourceforge.net/apps/release/6.6/emboss/apps/trimest.html2001</u>.
- Velasco R, Zharkikh A, Affourtit J, Dhingra A, Cestaro A, Kalyanaraman A, et al. The genome of the domesticated apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.). Nature Genetics. 2010; 42(10):833–9. doi: <u>10.1038/</u> <u>ng.654</u>. ISI:000282276600012. PMID: <u>20802477</u>