Vector timestamps

(auxiliary material from optional text [Bir05])

- Extend logical timestamps into a list of counters, one per process in the system
- Again, each process keeps its own copy
- Event e occurs at process p: *p increments VT(p)[p]* (p'th entry in its own vector clock)
- q receives a message from p: *q sets VT(q)=max(VT(q),VT(p))* (element-by-element)



Vector timestamps accurately represent the happensbefore relationship!

- Define VT(e) < VT(e') if,</p>
 - for all i, VT(e)[i] < VT(e')[i], and</p>
 - for some j, VT(e)[j]<VT(e')[j]</p>
- Example: if VT(e)=[2,1,1,0] and VT(e')=[2,3,1,0] then VT(e)<VT(e')</p>
- Notice that not all VT's are "comparable" under this rule: consider [4,0,0,0] and [0,0,0,4]

Vector timestamps accurately represent the happensbefore relationship!

- Now can show that VT(e) < VT(e') if and only if e → e':</p>
 - If e → e', there exists a chain e₀ → e₁ ... → e_n on which vector timestamps increase "hop by hop"
 - If VT(e) < VT(e') suffices to look at VT(e')[proc(e)], where proc(e) is the place that e occured. By definition, we know that VT(e')[proc(e)] is at least as large as VT(e)[proc(e)], and by construction, this implies a chain of events from e to e'

Examples of VT's and happens-before

- Example: suppose that VT(e)=[2,1,0,1] and VT(e')=[2,3,0,1], so VT(e)<VT(e')</p>
- How did e' "learn" about the 3 and the 1?
 - Either these events occured at the same place as e', or
 - Some chain of send/receive events carried the values!
- If VT's are not comparable, the corresponding events are concurrent!

Notice that vector timestamps require a static notion of system membership

- For vector to make sense, must agree on the number of entries
- Later will see that vector timestamps are useful within groups of processes
- Will also find ways to compress them and to deal with dynamic group membership changes