

Chapter 4

- **50+ years of CS and SE devoted to issues of composability: how to create solutions to big problems by combining (composing) solutions to smaller problems**
 - **Mechanisms – e.g. intrinsic locks; GC**
 - **Techniques – Invariants, pre- and post-conditions; confinement; delegation; etc. (Ch4)**
 - **Libraries – working code embodying the techniques for specific domains (Ch 5)**
- **This chapter mainly about techniques**

Invariants – the fundamental technique

- What property is always true of an object when it is in a correct state?
- For sequential programming, the class invariant gives you critical information about what each public method needs to achieve
- For concurrent programming, the class invariant tells you which fields are related and therefore have to be protected by a single lock

Post-conditions and Pre-conditions

- The post-condition of a method tells you what that method is supposed to accomplish
 - (A no-op will preserve the invariant but that's not very interesting or useful!)
- The pre-condition tells you what (beyond the invariant) is supposed to be true for a method to successfully reach the post-condition
 - In sequential programming calling a method when its precondition is false is an error
 - In concurrent programming we can wait for the precondition to become true

Two common problems

- **Composing a thread-safe class from unsafe building blocks**
- **Composing a thread-safe class when the building blocks are already thread-safe**

Confinement Technique (4.2) – thread-safe object built from unsafe objects

- Allow access to a thread-unsafe object only through another object that is thread-safe

```
public class PersonSet {  
    private final Set<Person> mySet = new  
    HashSet<Person>( );  
    public synchronized void add(Person p) {  
        mySet.add(p); }  
    public synchronized boolean contains(Person p) {  
        return myset.contains(p); }  
}
```

- HashSet is not ThreadSafe, PersonSet is
- Idea of ownership: PersonSet owns mySet but probably not the Persons contained in it

Danger in Confinement Technique

- Inadvertant publication of what is supposed to be private (confined) mutable state

```
public synchronized MutablePoint getLocation(String id) {  
    MutablePoint loc = locations.get(id);  
    return loc == null ? Null : new MutablePoint(loc);  
}
```

```
Public synchronized setLocation(String id, int x, int y) {  
    MutablePoint loc = locations.get(id);  
    if (loc == null) { ... exception ...}  
    loc.x = x; loc.y = y  
}
```

- My preference would be to express this interface using ImmutablePoints.

Thread-safe objects built from thread-safe components – Delegating safety (4.3)

- **Delegation: giving responsibility for thread safety to the object(s) containing this object's state**
 - **ConcurrentMap (TS) instead of Map (not TS)**
 - **Atomic<foo>**
- **If this object's state involves multiple other objects delegation may or may not work**
 - **If the sub-objects are independent, ok**
 - **If the sub-objects are related, this object must provide its own synchronization – even if all the sub-objects are themselves thread-safe**

Example

```
class PongPaddle {  
    private final AtomicInteger left = new AtomicInteger(0);  
    private final AtomicInteger right = new AtomicInteger(1);  
    public void move(int dx) { left.getAndAdd(dx);  
right.getAndAdd(dx); }  
    public void changeWidth(int dw) { right.getAndAdd(dw); }  
    public boolean hit(int pos) {  
        return left.get() <= pos && pos <= right.get();  
    }  
}
```

- No visibility concerns
- What is the invariant that relates left and right?
- What should we do to fix it?

Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

- Don't Repeat Yourself (DRY)
- I am very *anti* cut-and-paste coding
 - Hard on the reader
 - Hard on the maintainer
 - Instead of 1 change, n changes
 - Instead of 1 bug, n bugs
- Design code so there only needs to be one copy (use parameterization, polymorphic parameterization)
- Even better, reuse existing code that does almost the right thing
- How does this interact with synchronization?

Adding functionality (4.4)

- **Example: add putIfAbsent to a collection that already supports atomic contains() and add() methods**
- **Four approaches**
 - **Modify existing class**
 - **Extend existing class or**
 - **Wrap existing class – “client-side” locking**
 - **Composition -**

1. Modify existing class

- **Assuming the existing class is already thread-safe:**
 - **Introduce a new method that uses the same synchronization technique already in use**
 - **Best way but**
 - **Assumes you have control over the existing class**
 - **Would not be the case for library classes**

2. Extend the existing class

```
public class BetterVector<E> extends Vector<E> {  
    public synchronized boolean putIfAbsent(E x) {  
        boolean absent = !contains(x);  
        if (absent) { add(x); }  
        return absent;  
    }  
}
```

- **Vector is a thread-safe library class**
- **Vector provides enough primitive building blocks to allow construction of putIfAbsent**
- **Fig. 4.13 Note the benefit of re-entrant locks!**
- **Note the implicit assumption that we understand the way that Vector does synchronization – using intrinsic locks, in this case**
- **Note that we don't have any dependency on Vector's implementation**

3. Client-side locking

- Assume `v` is a thread-safe list obtained from
`v = Collections.synchronizedList(new ArrayList<E>());`
- Type of this object is `List<E>` -- not extendable
- Any code that wants to do putIfAbsent item `x` to such a list, `v`, can write

```
synchronized (v) {  
    if (!v.contains(x)) v.add(x);  
}
```
- Could be placed in a helper class – beware you have to synchronize on the *list* and not on the helper object – see Figs 4.14 and 4.15
- Still depending on knowing the synch policy for the wrapped object
- ... and spreading the knowledge about the synchronization policy far and wide

Composition

- Mimic the idea of `Collections.synchronizedList`
 - Provide all the synchronization in a new object that extends the functionality of an existing object instance (not class)
 - Delegates most operations to the existing object

```
Public class ImprovedList<T> implements List<T> {  
    private final List<T> list;  
    public ImprovedList(List<T> list) {  
        this.list = list; }  
    public synchronized boolean putIfAbsent(T x) ...  
    public synchronized boolean contains(T x) {  
        return list.contains(x); }  
    ...  
}
```

Note this is similar to how we handled an non-thread-safe object

Intro to Chapter 5 – Building Blocks

- **Chapter 4 was about low-level techniques**
- **This chapter is about libraries – embodiments of the techniques**
- **Section 5.1 Synchronized collections – read to see why you want to use Concurrent collections instead**
 - **The idioms described are even more unsafe than asserted in the book because of visibility problems**

Chapter 5 topics

- **Concurrent collections (5.2)**
- **The ubiquitous producer-consumer pattern (5.3)**
- **Interruptable methods (5.4)**
- **Primitive synchronizers (5.5)**