

Exam Question 1

- **volatile is not needed if all access to the variables is inside synchronized blocks or methods**
- **Don't confuse the capacity of a data structure with the number of items it currently contains**
- **notifyAll() vs notify() – different people got different comments about this because of different interpretations of the question: only wait if the stack is full? Only wait if it is empty? Don't wait at all? Wait if it is either full or empty?**

Exam Question 2

- Remember that all accesses to a shared variable must be made using the same kind of synchronization (and the same lock if the synchronization used is based on locking)
- Multi-step operations like `incr` require locking
- Therefore all other operations on value require locking
- Synchronized is therefore required for all three methods, but `volatile` is not required for value
- If `incr` is removed, locking is no longer needed, but `volatile` is then required

Exam Question 3

- If it is to be immutable all data fields need to be declared final
- New constructor
`private CardDeck (String [] cards, int dealPos)...`
- shuffle is just
`return new CardDeck()`
- deal is just
`return new CardDeck(cards, dealPos+1)`

Exam Question 4

- a) yes, they are properly constructed. Look at the constructor and see that nowhere in it is there the possibility of this being leaked to an external context
- b) no, the Object array created in the constructor is published by storing it in the public data member `m_event`. Storing in a public data member does not constitute safe publishing. The data field must be final or volatile or protected by a lock.
- c) yes this is correct synchronization. All accesses to `refCount` take place while holding the object's lock. Note that `synchronized(refCount)` does NOT work as `refCount` is not an object.
- d) Update visibility concerns: yep. `m_size` and `m_event` both have visibility concerns. Furthermore, updates to the Object array in `setObjects` risk not being visible even if `m_event` is declared volatile; also there is an implicit invariant regarding `m_size` and the number of objects actually stored in `m_event` that is not sufficiently protected.

Where were we?

- **Fundamental idea: compute new values rather than assigning repeatedly to variables**
- **Write-once variables**
- **Lists**
- **Pattern matching**

Today

- **Goal: ability to read Erlang code and know what it means – or how to find out**
- **Modules and compilation**
- **Function definitions; the idea of *arity***
- **Higher-order functions**
- **List comprehensions**
- **Pattern matching with *guards***
- **(read about records, section 3.9)**
- **Exceptions**
- **Next time: concurrency**

Modules and Compilation

- A module lives in a file named `modulename.erl`

`geometry.erl`

```
-module(geometry) .
```

```
-export([area/1]) . % only exported functions can  
be referenced from another module
```

```
area({rectangle, Width, Height}) -> Width *  
Height;
```

```
area({circle, R}) -> 3.14159 * R * R.
```

- Compile a module before use

```
c(geometry) .
```

Using functions from modules

`modulename: functionname (...)` % *or*

`-import(modulename, [functionname/arity, ...])`

`functionname (...)`

- **For python programmers: don't have to import the module itself**

Arity

- ***Arity*** refers to the number of arguments of a function (in other languages arity may refer to the number *and types* of the function arguments).
- Two functions in the same module with the same name but different arity are *different functions*.

```
-export ([sum/1]) .  
sum([], S) -> S;  
sum([H|T], S) -> sum(T, S+H) . % tail  
    recursion  
sum(L) -> sum(L, 0) .
```

Anonymous functions

- Functions as seen so far can only be defined in modules
- Anonymous functions can be defined in the shell or in modules

```
fun (X) -> 2*X end.
```

- Assign it or pass it as an argument

```
Double = fun (X) -> 2*X end.
```

```
DoubleList = map(fun (X) -> 2*X end,  
[1,2,3]) . % or
```

```
DoubleList = map(Double, [1,2,3]) .
```

List processing (review 355)

- Processing one element at a time

```
squares ([]) -> [];
```

```
squares ([H|T]) -> [H*H|squares (T)] .% use map
```

- Combining all the elements

```
product ([]) -> 1;
```

```
product ([H|T]) -> H * product (T) .% use fold
```

- Combining using an accumulator

```
product ([], A) -> A;
```

```
product ([H|T], A) -> product (T, H*A) .
```

```
product (L) -> product (L, 1) .
```

Higher-order functions

- Functions taking functions as arguments or returning functions as results

```
% erl -man lists
```

- map/2

```
squares(L) -> map(fun (X) -> X*X end, L) .
```

- foldr/3, foldl/3

```
product(L) -> foldl(fun (Elem, Acc) ->  
    Elem*Acc end, 1, L) .
```

Functions as results

```
mult (N) -> fun (M) -> N*M end.
```

Test your understanding: what's different between the above and

```
Mult = fun (N) -> (fun (M) -> N*M end) end.
```

List Comprehensions

- Even more convenient way to write map-ish things
`squares(L) -> [X*X || X <- L]. % read X*X
for X in L`
- Similarly, if L is a list of numeric tuples, to compute the list of products
`products(L) -> [X*Y || {X,Y} <- L].`
- Can make inclusion dependent on the data values with *filters*

`sqrts(L) -> [sqrt(X) || X <- L, X>=0].`

Pythagorean Triples

```
pythag(N) ->  
  [ {A,B,C} ||  
    A <- lists:seq(1,N) ,  
    B <- lists:seq(1,N) ,  
    C <- lists:seq(1,N) ,  
    A+B+C =< N ,  
    A*A+B*B == C*C  
  ] .
```

Permutations

```
perms ([]) -> [[]];  
perms (L) ->  
  [[H|T] ||  
    H <- L,  
    T <- perms (L--[H])  
  ].
```


Pattern matching with guards

- Just as list comprehensions combined *generators* and *filters*, in function definitions we can use *guards* to further limit matching

`max (X, Y) when X>Y -> X;`

`max (X, Y) -> Y.`

- Guards may be conjunctive (and) – combine with ,
or
- disjunctive(or) – combine with ;
- Side-effects in guards are not allowed

Raising Exceptions

- **exit(Why) % current process exits**
- **throw(Why) %**
- **erlang:error(Why)**
- **Have to go to extra effort to handle an exit() or erlang:error(). Otherwise similar.**

Catching Exceptions

```
try FuncOrExpressionSequence of
  Pattern1 [when Guard1] -> Expressions1;
  ...
catch
  ExType: ExPattern1 [when exGuard1] ->
    ExExpressions1;
  ...
after
  AfterExpressions
end
```

Try notes

- You can omit the “of Patterni -> Expressionsi” part entirely
- You can omit the “after AfterExpressions” part entirely – they act like *finally* in Java
- Question
 - Do the catch phrases handle exceptions occurring during the Expressionsi?