

Thread Scheduling — Priorities

Def'n (old): no lower priority runs if a higher priority is ready

Three issues:

- 1) unfairness
- 2) very hard to implement that policy on a multi processor
 - it's bad for programmer's to rely on this property for synchronization

3) deadlock — priority inversion

T_1 low priority holds lock	T_2 — Med. priority
T_3 high priority wants lock	CPU bound

Cure for priority inversion — priority inheritance
thread holding a resource receives highest + prior.
of threads wanting the resource.

- a bit complicated — necessary in some situations
- OZ takes a simpler approach

H : 100

M : 10

L : 1

Demand-driven data flow

```
proc {DGen N Xs} case Xs of  
  X | Xr then X = N {DGen N+1 Xr} end  
end
```

end

```
declare x  
{DGen 0 x}
```

```
fun {DSum ?Xs A Lim}
```

```
  if Lim > 0 then X | Xr = Xs in {DSum Xr A+X Lim-1}  
  else A end
```

end

local X_s S in

thread { $DGen$ 0 X_s } end

thread $S = \{ DSum$ X_s 0 $150000 \}$ end

{ $Browse$ S }

end

$DGen$ X_s

$DSum$ $X_s = X_l X_r$

Add'l exercise: write fibonacci using demand-driven style.

Additional practice: try wrapping different parts of your eager implementation in Thread... end.

Eager evaluation — produce freely

Lazy evaluation — produce only what's asked for.

poor throughput (and latency)

- parallel processing capability.
- there is overhead assoc. w/ asking for every item to be produced.

Bounded Buffer

```
proc {Buffer N ?Xs Ys}
```

```
  fun {Startup N ?Xs}
```

```
    if N==0 then Xs else Xr in
```

```
      Xs = _ | Xr {Startup N-1 Xs} end
```

```
  end
```

```
  fun {Askloop Ys ?Xs ?End}
```

```
    case Ys of Y | Yr then Xr End2 in
```

```
      Xs = Y | Xr
```

```
      End = _ | End2
```

```
      {Askloop Yr Xr End2}
```

```
    end
```

```
end  
in  
End = {Startup N Xs}  
end
```

```
local Xs Ys S in  
  thread { DGenerate 0 Xs } end  
  thread { Buffer 4 Xs Ys } end  
  thread S = { DSum Ys 0 150 000 } end  
  { Browse S } { Browse Xs } ...  
end
```

Stream Objects:

Threads and Streams together provide a way to program objects.

Object: a value that encapsulates state and behavior

Stream object: gets its behavior commands from an input stream; retains its state in accumulators of its recursive body.

proc {Stream Object S1 X1 T1}
 case S1 of
 M1 S2 then N X2 T2 in
 {Next State M X1 (N) (X2)}
 T1 = N1 T2
 {Stream Object S2 X2 T2}
 [] then T1 = nil end
 end

Annotations:

- Input stream: points to S1
- state: points to T1
- output str.: points to X1
- output message: points to X2
- next state: points to T2

declare so x0 T0 in
 Thread {Stream Object so (x0) T0}
 end ...)

{ connect SO to a Stream Source
{ connect TO to a Stream Sink
{ bind XO to the initial state for
Next State