CptS 483/580

First project

Assigned: 2/12/14

Due: 3/3/14 11:59:59PM. Turn in to the “Synchronizers Assignment” dropbox on Angel.

In this assignment you will create several classes that implement synchronizers along the lines described in the book in section 5.5. The directions for each class provide the class name and file name that you are to use for that class. When finished, put all of the ***source*** code (that's the source code, not the compiled class files or project files) in a ***zip*** file (that’s a zip file, not tar, not bzip, not jar: a zip file) and submit it to the turn-in page. As always, you may turn in the code as many times as you like. Only the last will be graded.

The purpose of this assignment is to gain experience thinking about how threads can be synchronized with each other. Thus, you may not use any library classes that essentially implement any of the assigned classes. You may, however, use library classes that provide synchronization capabilities beyond what is provided by intrinsic synchronization, such as the `Atomic<X>` classes, lock classes, etc.

Each implementation must contain enough class-level comments to explain *how* it works as well as per-method comments explaining *what* each method does. Include additional comments at the block or line level to help me understand your implementations.

For each synchronizer `Foo`, write another class `FooTest`, containing a `main` program that can be run to demonstrate your code in operation, doing what it is supposed to do. If the main program requires or takes command-line arguments to control its execution, make sure to document that fact in a comment. In short, I should be able to run

```
java FooTest <args>
```

and see evidence that your class operates correctly.

You ***must not use busy-waiting*** (defined as implementations that saturate the CPU doing nothing useful or sit in loops that use calls to Thread.sleep() waiting for something to happen).

For each

1. `Semaphore (Semaphore.java, SemaphoreTest.java)`

Semaphore semantics are described in section 5.5.3. Implement a constructor providing the initial number of permits, and public methods for `acquire(int n)` and `release(int n)`. Overloaded parameterless methods `acquire()` and `release()` correspond to passing 1 to the parameter-ful versions.

2. `CountDownLatch (CountDownLatch.java, CountDownLatchTest.java)`

CountDownLatch is described in section 5.5.1. The constructor initializes a counter to the provided value. The `countdown()` method decrements the counter (by 1); the `await()` method returns when the counter is no longer positive.

`3. Mailbox (Mailbox.java, MailboxTest.java)`

Recall the discussion from class: a mailbox is a queue that holds at most one element, with `put` and `take` methods. `Put` (respectively, `take`) waits if the mailbox is full (resp. empty). The queue implementation from class with size==2 implements a mailbox, but the goal in this

assignment is to implement the mailbox without the complication of head and tail indexes and an array.

4. `CyclicBarrier (CyclicBarrier.java, CyclicBarrierTest.java)`

CyclicBarrier is described in section 5.5.4. The constructor initializes a counter. The `await()` method decrements the counter and waits until the counter reaches zero at which point all waiting threads are released, the counter is reset to its initial value, and subsequent calls to await again block until the counter reaches 0. **Undergrads**: you do not need to implement the handling of timeouts and interruptions described in the text; **Grads** should do a full implementation including timeouts and interruptions. Nobody needs to implement the barrier action feature described in the first line of p. 101.) Note: my Race program for assignment 1 contained a barrier implementation but it does not fully meet the re-usability requirements for this assignment. You may use it as a starting point if you wish.

Assignments will be graded on: correct functioning, correctly described in comments. Test code is not provided – you're welcome to write your own but as we've seen, testing can only tell us that code is wrong, not that it is correct, and may have limited utility for concurrent programs. For any concurrent program you must be able to construct a correct argument about how your code correctly implements the desired functionality—and that's what I expect to see in the comments to your code.